

Error Bounds for the Generalized Power Cone and Applications in Algebraic Structure

Ying Lin



Supervisor: Dr. Ting Kei Pong

Department of Applied Mathematics,
The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

CAS AMSS-PolyU SIAM Student Chapter Workshop

December 24, 2022

Joint work with Scott B. Lindstrom, Bruno F. Lourenço and Ting Kei Pong

(arXiv: 2211.16142)

Conic feasibility problems and error bounds

Conic feasibility problem: Let $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed convex cone, \mathcal{L} be a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n and $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Find $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{K} \cap (\mathcal{L} + \mathbf{a})$.

Conic feasibility problems and error bounds

Conic feasibility problem: Let $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a **closed convex cone**, \mathcal{L} be a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n and $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

$$\text{Find } \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{K} \cap (\mathcal{L} + \mathbf{a}).$$

Error bounds: Let $\theta \in (0, 1]$. If for every bounded set B , there exists $c_B > 0$ such that for all $\mathbf{x} \in B$

$$\text{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{K} \cap (\mathcal{L} + \mathbf{a})) \leq c_B (\max\{\text{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{K}), \text{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{L} + \mathbf{a})\})^\theta$$

then we say $\{\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L} + \mathbf{a}\}$ satisfies a uniform **Hölderian error bound** with exponent θ . If $\theta = 1$, we say a **Lipschitz error bound** holds.

Conic feasibility problems and error bounds

Conic feasibility problem: Let $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed convex cone, \mathcal{L} be a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n and $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

$$\text{Find } \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{K} \cap (\mathcal{L} + \mathbf{a}).$$

Error bounds: Let $\theta \in (0, 1]$. If for every bounded set B , there exists $c_B > 0$ such that for all $\mathbf{x} \in B$

$$\text{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{K} \cap (\mathcal{L} + \mathbf{a})) \leq c_B (\max\{\text{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{K}), \text{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{L} + \mathbf{a})\})^\theta$$

then we say $\{\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L} + \mathbf{a}\}$ satisfies a uniform Hölderian error bound with exponent θ . If $\theta = 1$, we say a Lipschitz error bound holds.

One-step facial residual functions-based approach: The framework based on facial reduction algorithm (Borwein, Wolkowicz '81) and one-step facial residual functions (1-FRFs) (Lindstrom, Lourenço, Pong '22a) is theoretically adaptable for any closed convex cones (Lindstrom, Lourenço, Pong '22a '22b).

1-FRFs-based approach

Fact: A Lipschitz error bound holds if some constraint qualifications (CQ) hold for $\{\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L} + \mathbf{a}\}$.

1-FRFs-based approach

Fact: A Lipschitz error bound holds if some constraint qualifications (CQ) hold for $\{\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L} + \mathbf{a}\}$.

Partial Polyhedral Slater's (PPS) condition: The PPS condition holds for $\{\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L} + \mathbf{a}\}$ if one of the following conditions holds (Lourenço '21):

1. \mathcal{K} is polyhedral;
2. $(\mathcal{L} + \mathbf{a}) \cap \text{ri } \mathcal{K} \neq \emptyset$;
3. \mathcal{K} can be written as $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}^1 \times \mathcal{K}^2$ where \mathcal{K}^1 is polyhedral and $(\mathcal{L} + \mathbf{a}) \cap (\mathcal{K}^1 \times \text{ri } \mathcal{K}^2) \neq \emptyset$.

1-FRFs-based approach

Fact: A Lipschitz error bound holds if some constraint qualifications (CQ) hold for $\{\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L} + \mathbf{a}\}$.

Partial Polyhedral Slater's (PPS) condition: The PPS condition holds for $\{\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L} + \mathbf{a}\}$ if one of the following conditions holds (Lourenço '21):

1. \mathcal{K} is polyhedral;
2. $(\mathcal{L} + \mathbf{a}) \cap \text{ri } \mathcal{K} \neq \emptyset$;
3. \mathcal{K} can be written as $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}^1 \times \mathcal{K}^2$ where \mathcal{K}^1 is polyhedral and $(\mathcal{L} + \mathbf{a}) \cap (\mathcal{K}^1 \times \text{ri } \mathcal{K}^2) \neq \emptyset$.

Idea: Use the **facial reduction algorithm** to find a chain of faces:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\min} = \mathcal{F}_\ell \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_{\ell-1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{K}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{i+1} = \mathcal{F}_i \cap \{\mathbf{z}_i\}^\perp$ and $\mathbf{z}_i \in \mathcal{F}_i^* \cap \mathcal{L}^\perp \cap \{\mathbf{a}\}^\perp$ for $i = 1, \dots, \ell - 1$, such that \mathcal{F}_{\min} satisfies the PPS condition.

In each facial reduction step, use **one-step facial residual functions** to connect the “current” and “next” faces and compose them together to get the whole error bounds.

1-FRFs-based approach

Fact: A Lipschitz error bound holds if some constraint qualifications (CQ) hold for $\{\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L} + \mathbf{a}\}$.

Partial Polyhedral Slater's (PPS) condition: The PPS condition holds for $\{\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L} + \mathbf{a}\}$ if one of the following conditions holds (Lourenço '21):

1. \mathcal{K} is polyhedral;
2. $(\mathcal{L} + \mathbf{a}) \cap \text{ri } \mathcal{K} \neq \emptyset$;
3. \mathcal{K} can be written as $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}^1 \times \mathcal{K}^2$ where \mathcal{K}^1 is polyhedral and $(\mathcal{L} + \mathbf{a}) \cap (\mathcal{K}^1 \times \text{ri } \mathcal{K}^2) \neq \emptyset$.

Idea: Use the **facial reduction algorithm** to find a chain of faces:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\min} = \mathcal{F}_\ell \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_{\ell-1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{K}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{i+1} = \mathcal{F}_i \cap \{\mathbf{z}_i\}^\perp$ and $\mathbf{z}_i \in \mathcal{F}_i^* \cap \mathcal{L}^\perp \cap \{\mathbf{a}\}^\perp$ for $i = 1, \dots, \ell - 1$, such that \mathcal{F}_{\min} satisfies the PPS condition.

In each facial reduction step, use **one-step facial residual functions** to connect the “current” and “next” faces and compose them together to get the whole error bounds.

Notation: The distance to the PPS condition $d_{\text{PPS}}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L} + \mathbf{a}) = \ell - 1$.

The generalized power cone

The generalized power cone: Let $m \geq 1$, $n \geq 2$ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ with $\alpha_i \in (0, 1)$ for all i and $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$, the generalized power cone $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ and its dual $(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})^*$ are given respectively by

$$\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \left\{ \mathbf{x} = (\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \mid \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}\| \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \tilde{x}_i^{\alpha_i}, \bar{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^m, \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \right\},$$
$$(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})^* = \left\{ \mathbf{z} = (\bar{\mathbf{z}}, \tilde{\mathbf{z}}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \mid \|\bar{\mathbf{z}}\| \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\tilde{z}_i}{\alpha_i} \right)^{\alpha_i}, \bar{\mathbf{z}} \in \mathbb{R}^m, \tilde{\mathbf{z}} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \right\}.$$

The generalized power cone

The generalized power cone: Let $m \geq 1$, $n \geq 2$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ with $\alpha_i \in (0, 1)$ for all i and $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$, the generalized power cone $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$ and its dual $(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha)^*$ are given respectively by

$$\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha = \left\{ \mathbf{x} = (\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \mid \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}\| \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \tilde{x}_i^{\alpha_i}, \bar{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^m, \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \right\},$$
$$(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha)^* = \left\{ \mathbf{z} = (\bar{\mathbf{z}}, \tilde{\mathbf{z}}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \mid \|\bar{\mathbf{z}}\| \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\tilde{z}_i}{\alpha_i} \right)^{\alpha_i}, \bar{\mathbf{z}} \in \mathbb{R}^m, \tilde{\mathbf{z}} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \right\}.$$

Observations:

- ✓ If $n = 1$, it is exactly a **second-order cone**.
- ✓ If $n = 2$ and $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, it is isomorphic to a **second-order cone**.
- ✗ In other cases, it is complicated.

The generalized power cone

The generalized power cone: Let $m \geq 1$, $n \geq 2$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ with $\alpha_i \in (0, 1)$ for all i and $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$, the generalized power cone $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$ and its dual $(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha)^*$ are given respectively by

$$\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha = \left\{ \mathbf{x} = (\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \mid \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}\| \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \tilde{x}_i^{\alpha_i}, \bar{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^m, \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \right\},$$
$$(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha)^* = \left\{ \mathbf{z} = (\bar{\mathbf{z}}, \tilde{\mathbf{z}}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \mid \|\bar{\mathbf{z}}\| \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\tilde{z}_i}{\alpha_i} \right)^{\alpha_i}, \bar{\mathbf{z}} \in \mathbb{R}^m, \tilde{\mathbf{z}} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \right\}.$$

Observations:

- ✓ If $n = 1$, it is exactly a **second-order cone**.
- ✓ If $n = 2$ and $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, it is isomorphic to a **second-order cone**.
- ✗ In other cases, it is complicated.
- ★ It is **self-dual**: A cone \mathcal{K} is called **self-dual** if there exists a positive definite matrix Q such that $Q\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}^*$.

Motivations

1. The generalized power cones admit modeling of certain problems (Nesterov '12, Skajaa, Ye '15) and have found applications in geometric programs, generalized location problems, portfolio optimization, and nonnegativity problems (Chares '09, MOSEK '22, Skajaa, Ye '15, Murray '21).

Motivations

1. The generalized power cones admit modeling of certain problems (Nesterov '12, Skajaa, Ye '15) and have found applications in geometric programs, generalized location problems, portfolio optimization, and nonnegativity problems (Chares '09, MOSEK '22, Skajaa, Ye '15, Murray '21).
2. The generalized power cone is the final piece of the conic wheel (MOSEK '22), which contains five cones: \mathbb{R}_+^n , \mathcal{Q}^{n+1} , \mathcal{S}_+^n , \mathcal{K}_{exp} , and $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$, and it is believed that (Lubin, Yamangil, Bent, Vielma '16, MINLPLib '22)

Almost all convex constraints which arise in practice are representable using the five cones in the conic wheel.

Motivations

1. The generalized power cones admit modeling of certain problems (Nesterov '12, Skajaa, Ye '15) and have found applications in geometric programs, generalized location problems, portfolio optimization, and nonnegativity problems (Chares '09, MOSEK '22, Skajaa, Ye '15, Murray '21).
2. The generalized power cone is the final piece of the conic wheel (MOSEK '22), which contains five cones: \mathbb{R}_+^n , \mathcal{Q}^{n+1} , \mathcal{S}_+^n , \mathcal{K}_{exp} , and $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$, and it is believed that (Lubin, Yamangil, Bent, Vielma '16, MINLPLib '22)

Almost all convex constraints which arise in practice are representable using the five cones in the conic wheel.

3. The algebraic structure (automorphism group, homogeneity, reducibility, perfectness) of the generalized power cone is still an open problem except for the two symmetric cases and some particular cases, e.g., $m = 1$, $n = 2$ and $\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{3}$, $\alpha_2 = \frac{2}{3}$ in (Truong, Tunçel '04).

Motivations

1. The generalized power cones admit modeling of certain problems (Nesterov '12, Skajaa, Ye '15) and have found applications in geometric programs, generalized location problems, portfolio optimization, and nonnegativity problems (Chares '09, MOSEK '22, Skajaa, Ye '15, Murray '21).
2. The generalized power cone is the final piece of the conic wheel (MOSEK '22), which contains five cones: \mathbb{R}_+^n , \mathcal{Q}^{n+1} , \mathcal{S}_+^n , \mathcal{K}_{exp} , and $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$, and it is believed that (Lubin, Yamangil, Bent, Vielma '16, MINLPLib '22)

Almost all convex constraints which arise in practice are representable using the five cones in the conic wheel.



The algebraic structure (automorphism group, homogeneity, reducibility, perfectness) of the generalized power cone is still an open problem except for the two symmetric cases and some particular cases, e.g., $m = 1$, $n = 2$ and $\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{3}$, $\alpha_2 = \frac{2}{3}$ in (Truong, Tunçel '04).

Facial structure and $\mathbb{1}$ -FRFs of $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$

Facial structure: Let $\mathbf{z} := (\bar{\mathbf{z}}, \tilde{\mathbf{z}}) \in \partial(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha)^* \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Facial structure and 1-FRFs of $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$

Facial structure: Let $\mathbf{z} := (\bar{\mathbf{z}}, \tilde{\mathbf{z}}) \in \partial(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha)^* \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

- If $\bar{\mathbf{z}} \neq \mathbf{0}$, $\mathcal{F}_r := \mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha \cap \{\mathbf{z}\}^\perp$ is of form:

$$\mathcal{F}_r := \{t\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \mid t \geq 0\} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{f} = (-\bar{\mathbf{z}}/\|\bar{\mathbf{z}}\|^2, \boldsymbol{\alpha} \circ \tilde{\mathbf{z}}^{-1}).$$

Facial structure and 1-FRFs of $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$

Facial structure: Let $\mathbf{z} := (\bar{\mathbf{z}}, \tilde{\mathbf{z}}) \in \partial(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha)^* \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

- If $\bar{\mathbf{z}} \neq \mathbf{0}$, $\mathcal{F}_r := \mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha \cap \{\mathbf{z}\}^\perp$ is of form:

$$\mathcal{F}_r := \{t\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \mid t \geq 0\} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{f} = (-\bar{\mathbf{z}}/\|\bar{\mathbf{z}}\|^2, \boldsymbol{\alpha} \circ \tilde{\mathbf{z}}^{-1}).$$

- If $\bar{\mathbf{z}} = \mathbf{0}$, let $\mathcal{I} := \{i \mid \tilde{z}_i > 0\}$, $\mathcal{F}_z := \mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha \cap \{\mathbf{z}\}^\perp$ is:

$$\mathcal{F}_z := \{\mathbf{x} = (\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{m+n} \mid \bar{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{0}, \tilde{x}_i = 0 \ \forall i \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

Facial structure and $\mathbb{1}$ -FRFs of $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$

Facial structure: Let $\mathbf{z} := (\bar{\mathbf{z}}, \tilde{\mathbf{z}}) \in \partial(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha)^* \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

- If $\bar{\mathbf{z}} \neq \mathbf{0}$, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{r}} := \mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha \cap \{\mathbf{z}\}^\perp$ is of form:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{r}} := \{t\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \mid t \geq 0\} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{f} = (-\bar{\mathbf{z}}/\|\bar{\mathbf{z}}\|^2, \boldsymbol{\alpha} \circ \tilde{\mathbf{z}}^{-1}).$$

- If $\bar{\mathbf{z}} = \mathbf{0}$, let $\mathcal{I} := \{i \mid \tilde{z}_i > 0\}$, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{z}} := \mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha \cap \{\mathbf{z}\}^\perp$ is:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{z}} := \{\mathbf{x} = (\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{m+n} \mid \bar{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{0}, \tilde{x}_i = 0 \ \forall i \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

$\mathbb{1}$ -FRFs:

- The following function is a $\mathbb{1}$ -FRF for $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$ and \mathbf{z} with $\bar{\mathbf{z}} \neq \mathbf{0}$:

$$\psi_{\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha, \mathbf{z}}(\epsilon, t) := \max\{\epsilon, \epsilon/\|\mathbf{z}\|\} + \max\{2\sqrt{t}, 2\gamma_{\mathbf{z},t}^{-1}\}(\epsilon + \max\{\epsilon, \epsilon/\|\mathbf{z}\|\})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Facial structure and $\mathbb{1}$ -FRFs of $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$

Facial structure: Let $\mathbf{z} := (\bar{\mathbf{z}}, \tilde{\mathbf{z}}) \in \partial(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha)^* \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

- If $\bar{\mathbf{z}} \neq \mathbf{0}$, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{r}} := \mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha \cap \{\mathbf{z}\}^\perp$ is of form:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{r}} := \{t\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \mid t \geq 0\} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{f} = (-\bar{\mathbf{z}}/\|\bar{\mathbf{z}}\|^2, \boldsymbol{\alpha} \circ \tilde{\mathbf{z}}^{-1}).$$

- If $\bar{\mathbf{z}} = \mathbf{0}$, let $\mathcal{I} := \{i \mid \tilde{z}_i > 0\}$, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{z}} := \mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha \cap \{\mathbf{z}\}^\perp$ is:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{z}} := \{\mathbf{x} = (\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{m+n} \mid \bar{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{0}, \tilde{x}_i = 0 \ \forall i \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

$\mathbb{1}$ -FRFs:

- The following function is a $\mathbb{1}$ -FRF for $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$ and \mathbf{z} with $\bar{\mathbf{z}} \neq \mathbf{0}$:

$$\psi_{\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha, \mathbf{z}}(\epsilon, t) := \max\{\epsilon, \epsilon/\|\mathbf{z}\|\} + \max\{2\sqrt{t}, 2\gamma_{\mathbf{z},t}^{-1}\}(\epsilon + \max\{\epsilon, \epsilon/\|\mathbf{z}\|\})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

- The following function is a $\mathbb{1}$ -FRF for $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$ and \mathbf{z} with $\bar{\mathbf{z}} = \mathbf{0}$:

$$\psi_{\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha, \mathbf{z}}(\epsilon, t) := \max\{\epsilon, \epsilon/\|\mathbf{z}\|\} + \max\{2t^{1-\beta}, 2\gamma_{\mathbf{z},t}^{-1}\}(\epsilon + \max\{\epsilon, \epsilon/\|\mathbf{z}\|\})^\beta$$

where $\beta := \sum_{i: \tilde{z}_i > 0} \alpha_i$.

Facial structure and $\mathbb{1}$ -FRFs of $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$

Facial structure: Let $\mathbf{z} := (\bar{\mathbf{z}}, \tilde{\mathbf{z}}) \in \partial(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha)^* \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

- If $\bar{\mathbf{z}} \neq \mathbf{0}$, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{r}} := \mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha \cap \{\mathbf{z}\}^\perp$ is of form:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{r}} := \{t\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \mid t \geq 0\} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{f} = (-\bar{\mathbf{z}}/\|\bar{\mathbf{z}}\|^2, \boldsymbol{\alpha} \circ \tilde{\mathbf{z}}^{-1}).$$

- If $\bar{\mathbf{z}} = \mathbf{0}$, let $\mathcal{I} := \{i \mid \tilde{z}_i > 0\}$, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{z}} := \mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha \cap \{\mathbf{z}\}^\perp$ is:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{z}} := \{\mathbf{x} = (\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{m+n} \mid \bar{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{0}, \tilde{x}_i = 0 \ \forall i \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

$\mathbb{1}$ -FRFs:

- 👍 The following function is a $\mathbb{1}$ -FRF for $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$ and \mathbf{z} with $\bar{\mathbf{z}} \neq \mathbf{0}$:

$$\psi_{\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha, \mathbf{z}}(\epsilon, t) := \max\{\epsilon, \epsilon/\|\mathbf{z}\|\} + \max\{2\sqrt{t}, 2\gamma_{\mathbf{z},t}^{-1}\}(\epsilon + \max\{\epsilon, \epsilon/\|\mathbf{z}\|\})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

- 👍 The following function is a $\mathbb{1}$ -FRF for $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$ and \mathbf{z} with $\bar{\mathbf{z}} = \mathbf{0}$:

$$\psi_{\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha, \mathbf{z}}(\epsilon, t) := \max\{\epsilon, \epsilon/\|\mathbf{z}\|\} + \max\{2t^{1-\beta}, 2\gamma_{\mathbf{z},t}^{-1}\}(\epsilon + \max\{\epsilon, \epsilon/\|\mathbf{z}\|\})^\beta$$

where $\beta := \sum_{i: \tilde{z}_i > 0} \alpha_i$.

Error bounds for $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$

Theorem 1. Consider the generalized power cone $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$ and its dual cone $(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha)^*$. Let $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m+n}$ be a subspace and $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n}$ be given. Suppose that $(\mathcal{L} + \mathbf{a}) \cap \mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha \neq \emptyset$. Then the following items hold.

1. $d_{\text{PPS}}(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha, \mathcal{L} + \mathbf{a}) \leq 1$.
2. If $d_{\text{PPS}}(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha, \mathcal{L} + \mathbf{a}) = 0$, then a Lipschitz error bound holds.
3. If $d_{\text{PPS}}(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha, \mathcal{L} + \mathbf{a}) = 1$, consider the chain of faces $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq \mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$ with length being 2.
 - i. If $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_r$, then a Hölderian error bound with exponent $\frac{1}{2}$ holds.
 - ii. If $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_z$ with $\mathbf{z} \in (\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha)^* \cap \mathcal{L}^\perp \cap \{\mathbf{a}\}^\perp$, then a Hölderian error bound with exponent $\beta := \sum_{i: \tilde{z}_i > 0} \alpha_i$ holds.
 - iii. If $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$, then a Lipschitz error bound holds.
4. All these error bounds are the best in the sense stated in (Lindstrom, Lourenço, Pong '22b).

Automorphisms of $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$

Remark: When $n = 2$ and $\alpha = (1/2, 1/2)$, $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$ is isomorphic to the second-order cone, whose automorphism group is well-known.

Automorphisms of $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$

Remark: When $n = 2$ and $\alpha = (1/2, 1/2)$, $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$ is isomorphic to the second-order cone, whose automorphism group is well-known.

Key ideas: For any closed convex cone \mathcal{K} , if $A\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}$, A must be **invertible** and preserve the **optimal FRFs and dimensions** of faces.

Automorphisms of $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$

Remark: When $n = 2$ and $\alpha = (1/2, 1/2)$, $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$ is isomorphic to the second-order cone, whose automorphism group is well-known.

Key ideas: For any closed convex cone \mathcal{K} , if $A\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}$, A must be **invertible** and preserve the **optimal FRFs and dimensions** of faces.

Theorem 2. For $m \geq 1, n > 2$ and any $\alpha \in (0, 1)^n$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$, or for $m \geq 1, n = 2$ and any $\alpha \in (0, 1)^2$ such that $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$ and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$, it holds that $A \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha)$ if and only if

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} B & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & E \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{with } B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}, E \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

for some (invertible) generalized permutation matrix E with positive nonzero entries and invertible matrix B satisfying

$$\|B\mathbf{x}\| = \prod_{k=1}^n (E_{k,l_k})^{\alpha_{l_k}} \|\mathbf{x}\| \text{ for all } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m,$$

where E_{k,l_k} is the nonzero element in the k -th row of E and $\alpha_{l_k} = \alpha_k$.

Dimension of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha)$

Theorem 3. Let $m \geq 1$, $n \geq 2$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)^n$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$, then we have the following statements about $\dim \text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha)$.

1. If $m \geq 1$, $n = 2$ and $\alpha := (0.5, 0.5)$, then $\dim \text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha) = \frac{m^2 + 3m + 4}{2}$.
2. If $m \geq 1$, $n > 2$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$ or $m \geq 1$, $n = 2$, $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$ and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$, then the Lie algebra of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha)$, denoted by $\text{Lie Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha)$, is of form:

$$\text{Lie Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} G & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{diag}(\mathbf{h}) \end{bmatrix} \mid \begin{array}{l} G + G^\top = 2\alpha^\top \mathbf{h} I_m, \\ G \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}, \mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{array} \right\}.$$

Hence, $\dim \text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha) = \dim \text{Lie Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha) = n + \frac{m(m-1)}{2}$.

Dimension of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha)$

Theorem 3. Let $m \geq 1$, $n \geq 2$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)^n$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$, then we have the following statements about $\dim \text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha)$.

1. If $m \geq 1$, $n = 2$ and $\alpha := (0.5, 0.5)$, then $\dim \text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha) = \frac{m^2 + 3m + 4}{2}$.
2. If $m \geq 1$, $n > 2$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$ or $m \geq 1$, $n = 2$, $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$ and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$, then the Lie algebra of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha)$, denoted by $\text{Lie Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha)$, is of form:

$$\text{Lie Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} G & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{diag}(\mathbf{h}) \end{bmatrix} \mid \begin{array}{l} G + G^\top = 2\alpha^\top \mathbf{h} I_m, \\ G \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}, \mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{array} \right\}.$$

Hence, $\dim \text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha) = \dim \text{Lie Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha) = n + \frac{m(m-1)}{2}$.

Key ideas:

1. $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha)$, the automorphism group of $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$, is a **Lie group** (a group that is also a differentiable manifold).
2. The **Lie algebra** associated with a Lie group is the tangent space of this Lie group at the identity element.
3. A Lie group and its Lie algebra share the same dimension.

Reducibility and perfectness

Reducibility: A cone is said to be **reducible** if it can be expressed as a direct sum of two nonempty and nontrivial cones.

Reducibility and perfectness

Reducibility: A cone is said to be **reducible** if it can be expressed as a direct sum of two nonempty and nontrivial cones.

Perfectness: For a proper cone $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p$, its complementarity set is defined as

$$C(\mathcal{K}) := \{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}) \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{K}, \mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{K}^*, \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s} \rangle = 0\}.$$

We say that \mathcal{K} is **perfect** (Gowda, Tao '14) if there exist p linearly independent matrices $L_i \in \text{Lie Aut}(\mathcal{K})$ such that

$$C(\mathcal{K}) = \{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}) \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{K}, \mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{K}^*, \langle L_i \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s} \rangle = 0 \quad \forall i\}.$$

Reducibility and perfectness

Reducibility: A cone is said to be **reducible** if it can be expressed as a direct sum of two nonempty and nontrivial cones.

Perfectness: For a proper cone $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p$, its complementarity set is defined as

$$C(\mathcal{K}) := \{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}) \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{K}, \mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{K}^*, \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s} \rangle = 0\}.$$

We say that \mathcal{K} is **perfect** (Gowda, Tao '14) if there exist p linearly independent matrices $L_i \in \text{Lie Aut}(\mathcal{K})$ such that

$$C(\mathcal{K}) = \{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}) \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{K}, \mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{K}^*, \langle L_i \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s} \rangle = 0 \quad \forall i\}.$$

🔧 If a cone is **perfect**, the dual problem of the corresponding conic linear programming can be written as a complementarity problem with a **square system**, then some specific algorithms can be applied.

The algebraic structure of $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$

Corollary 1. Let $m \geq 1$, $n \geq 2$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)^n$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$, then the following statements hold for $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$.

1. $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$ is **irreducible**.
2. If $m \geq 1$, $n = 2$ and $\alpha := (0.5, 0.5)$, then $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$ is **homogeneous** and **perfect**.
3. If $m \geq 1$, $n > 2$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$ or $m \geq 1$, $n = 2$, $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$ and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$, then $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$ is **nonhomogeneous**. If $1 \leq m \leq 2$, then $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$ is **not perfect**. If $m \geq 3$, then $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$ is **perfect**.

The algebraic structure of $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$

Corollary 1. Let $m \geq 1$, $n \geq 2$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)^n$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$, then the following statements hold for $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$.

1. $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$ is **irreducible**.
2. If $m \geq 1$, $n = 2$ and $\alpha := (0.5, 0.5)$, then $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$ is **homogeneous** and **perfect**.
3. If $m \geq 1$, $n > 2$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$ or $m \geq 1$, $n = 2$, $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$ and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$, then $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$ is **nonhomogeneous**. If $1 \leq m \leq 2$, then $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$ is **not perfect**. If $m \geq 3$, then $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$ is **perfect**.

Key ideas:

1. If a closed convex pointed cone \mathcal{K} is reducible, i.e., \mathcal{K} is a direct sum of two nonempty, nontrivial sets \mathcal{K}_1 and \mathcal{K}_2 , then we have $\mathcal{K}_1 \not\subseteq \mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{K}_2 \not\subseteq \mathcal{K}$ and **$\dim(\mathcal{K}) = \dim(\mathcal{K}_1) + \dim(\mathcal{K}_2)$** .
2. A cone \mathcal{K} is **homogeneous** if for every $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \text{ri } \mathcal{K}$, there is a matrix A such that $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ and $A\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}$.
3. A proper cone \mathcal{K} is perfect if and only if **$\dim \text{LieAut}(\mathcal{K}) \geq \dim(\mathcal{K})$** .

Conclusion

- The error bounds for $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$ are **completely** established.
- The **first** result regarding the **automorphism group** of $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$.
- The **first** rigorous proof of the **nonhomogeneity** of $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$ in the general case.
- An interesting example of a set of cones that is proved to be **self-dual**, **irreducible**, **nonhomogeneous** and **perfect** simultaneously.

Conclusion

- The error bounds for $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$ are **completely** established.
- The **first** result regarding the **automorphism group** of $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$.
- The **first** rigorous proof of the **nonhomogeneity** of $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^\alpha$ in the general case.
- An interesting example of a set of cones that is proved to be **self-dual**, **irreducible**, **nonhomogeneous** and **perfect** simultaneously.



Thanks for listening!

